

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

OXBO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,  
v.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM:  
LIABILITY

H&S MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.,

15-cv-292-jdp

Defendant.

---

We, the jury, for our special verdict, do find as follows:

INFRINGEMENT

Has plaintiff proven to a preponderance of the evidence that defendant's products, the Tri-Flex mergers, infringe claim 28 of the '929 patent?

ANSWER: Yes ("yes" or "no")

A "yes" is a finding for plaintiff and a "no" is a finding for defendant.

Regardless of whether you answered "yes" or "no" above, go on and answer the questions in the following invalidity section.

## INVALIDITY

Has defendant proven by clear and convincing evidence that the following patent claims are invalid because they were obvious?

Patent Claim	Yes	No
Claim 44 of the '929 patent		✓
Claim 1 of the '739 patent		✓
Claim 1 of the '488 patent		✓
Claim 2 of the '488 patent		✓
Claim 4 of the '488 patent		✓
Claim 6 of the '488 patent		✓
Claim 8 of the '488 patent		✓
Claim 10 of the '488 patent		✓

A "yes" is a finding for defendant and a "no" is a finding for plaintiff.

Mary Campbell  
Presiding Juror

Madison, Wisconsin

Dated this 16 day of June, 2017